

## UNIVERSAL DESIGN & ACCESSIBLE TRANSIT SYSTEMS:

### FACTS TO CONSIDER WHEN UPDATING OR EXPANDING YOUR TRANSIT SYSTEM

When making purchasing decisions for transportation infrastructure and equipment capital investment purchases, here are some accessibility features and concepts to consider.

Consider Universal Design (UD) – it benefits everyone.

Universal Design (UD) extends the benefits of accessible design (as defined by the Americans with Disabilities Act- ADA) to all riders. The goal of UD is to make environments, products and systems safer, healthier, and more usable for everyone. Universal design addresses accessibility across the built environment and on to vehicles.

Implementing Universal Design has many benefits and research has demonstrated:<sup>[6]</sup>

- UD provides a business advantage to organizations by:
  - Increasing consumer base and customer loyalty,
  - Reducing operating and renovation costs,
  - Increasing productivity and operating efficiency,
  - Reducing specialty maintenance costs, and
  - Expanding the labor pool.

Here are some Universal Design Features to consider before finalizing plans for capital improvement projects:

When designing transit stops:

- Add features that protect riders against climate extremes (i.e., cold, wind, heat).<sup>[9]</sup>
- Make sure bus shelters have adequate internal clearances for mobility aid users and that there are clear sight lines so they can see approaching buses.<sup>[10]</sup>
- Consider placing designated paratransit stops at frequently used locations in large buildings, such as malls, stadiums, arenas, and medical centers.

When purchasing transit vehicles or adding new equipment:

- Install lifts and/or ramps on every vehicle. Avoid ramp slopes steeper than 1:12 wherever possible. Maximum ramp slopes should be 1:8 to make it easier for people to use them and improve safety.<sup>[12]</sup>
- Investigate the use of smaller vehicles that can be operated effectively in suburban and urban areas, and get closer to people's homes.<sup>[13]</sup>
- Install automated bridge plates to eliminate the gaps between trains and boarding surfaces.<sup>[14]</sup>
- Install onboard annunciators and variable message signs to announce upcoming stops and to reduce the burden on transit drivers.<sup>[14]</sup>
- If installing automatic vehicle location equipment/software, also provide audible and visual real-time arrival time information to riders. Accessible web sites, mobile internet, and automated phone systems are good choices.<sup>[15,16]</sup>



- Implement training programs to educate drivers/operators, conductors, operators, station attendants, and other personnel on any new features and how they increase access for riders.<sup>[17]</sup>

## When installing new fare stations and turnstiles:<sup>[18]</sup>

- When wider [accessible] fare gates are available, riders use them more frequently than the narrow standard gates. In new projects consider making all turnstiles accessible so that all riders can benefit from the wider clearances.
- Simplify fare reduction programs to reduce confusion for riders.
- Consider contactless fare-payment methods and regional universal fare cards.
- Increase the time both between fare payment and opening of turnstiles and between opening and closing of turnstiles.

## When renovating or building new transit stations:

- Provide real-time information at transit stations in both audible and visual formats.<sup>[15,16]</sup>
- Increase the number of elevators in a station from the required minimum of one to at least two. Single elevators at stations are not ideal because when one is out of service, the station is inaccessible. Multiple elevators will accommodate a larger number of riders and serve as a safety measure in case an elevator malfunctions.
- Design multi-modal terminals to simplify and facilitate transfers.<sup>[19]</sup>
- Foster public/private partnerships to establish Transit Improvement Districts around major stations, even in the suburbs, to provide incentives for transit-oriented development and increase access for everyone.<sup>[20]</sup>

## When purchasing accessible taxis:<sup>[21]</sup>

- Consider vehicles that have low floors, high

ceilings, and wide door openings for easy entering and exiting.

- Consider in-taxi audible information systems for riders who have difficulty hearing.

## When designing pedestrian traffic signals:<sup>[22]</sup>

- Ensure that they have both visual and audible signals.
- Make sure that a signal indicating forward movement is safe holds long enough so people who cannot move fast have enough time to cross the street.

## References

- [1] U.S. Census Bureau. (2004). U.S. interim projections by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin. <http://www.census.gov/ipc/www/usinterimproj/>. (Accessed on January 4, 2008).
- [2] U.S. Census Bureau. (2006). 2006 American Community Survey.
- [3] National Organization on Disability. (2004). N.O.D./Harris survey of Americans with disabilities. <http://www.nod.org/index.cfm?fuseaction=Feature.showFeature&FeatureID=1422>. (Accessed March 4, 2008).
- [4] Population Reference Bureau. (2007). Trends in disability at older ages. *Today's Research on Aging*, No. 7, pg. 1-5.
- [5] Steinfeld, E., Paquet, V., Feathers, D. (2004) Space requirements for wheeled mobility devices. In: Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 48th Annual Meeting, September 20-24, 2004, New Orleans, Louisiana.
- [6] Mueller, J. (2001). Office and workplace design. In W.F.E. Preiser and E. Ostroff, (Eds.) *Universal Design Handbook*. New York: McGraw-Hill, pp. 45.1.
- [7] Danford & Maurer (2005). Empirical tests of the claimed benefits of Universal Design. Proceedings of the Thirty-sixth Annual International Conference of the Environment Design Research Association. Edmond, OK: Environmental Design Research Association, 123-128.
- [8] Bureau of Transportation Statistics, U.S. Department of Transportation. (2003). Transportation difficulties keep over half a million disabled at home, April 2003. *BTS Issue Brief*, No. 3. [http://www.bts.gov/publications/issue\\_briefs/number\\_03](http://www.bts.gov/publications/issue_briefs/number_03). (Accessed March 6, 2008).
- [9] Hsu, J., Yue, L., and Fernie, G. (2008). Heart rate and blood pressure change in response to cold and icy conditions. International Conference on Aging, Disability and Independence, St. Petersburg, FL, January 2008.

# Accessible Community Transportation In Our Nation

[10] Easter Seals Project Action. (2006). Toolkit for the assessment of bus stop accessibility and safety. Washington, DC: ESPA.

[11] Transportation Research Board. (1998). New designs and operating experiences with low-floor buses. TCRP Report 41, Transit Cooperative Research Program.

[12] Sanford, J.A., Story, M.F., and Jones, M.L. (1997). An analysis of the effects of ramp slope on people with mobility impairments. *Assistive Technology*, 9(1), pp. 22-33.

[13] Audirac, I. (2008). Accessing transit as universal design. *Journal of Planning Literature*, 23(1), pp. 4-16.

[14] U.S. Access Board and Department of Transportation. (2007). Draft revisions to the ADA accessibility guidelines for buses and vans. <http://www.access-board.gov/vguidedraft.htm> (Accessed July 22, 2008).

[15] Casey, C. (2003). Real-time information: Now arriving. *Metro Magazine*.  
[http://www.metromagazine.com/t\\_featpick.cfm?id=90505244](http://www.metromagazine.com/t_featpick.cfm?id=90505244). (Accessed June 9, 2003).

[16] Norman, D. (2007). *The design of future things*. New York: Basic Books.

[17] United States Department of Transportation, Federal Transit Administration. (2007). Part 37--Transportation services for individuals with disabilities, [49CFR37]. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office via GPO Access.

[18] Information gathered during research for the RERC-APT, but not yet published.

[19] Lambert, J.H., Joshi, N.N., Peterson, K.D., and Wadie, S.M. (2007). Coordination and diversification of investments in multimodal transportation. *Public Works Management & Policy*, Vol. 11, No. 4, 250-265.

[20] Cervero, R., G. B. Arrington, J. Smith-Heimer, R. Dunphy, S. Murphy, C. Ferrell, N., Goguts, Y.-H. Tsai, J. Boroski, R. Golem, P. Peninger, E. Nakajima, E. Chui, M., Meyers, S. McKay, and N. Witenstein. (2004). *Transit-oriented development in America: Experiences, challenges, and prospects*. TCRP Report 102. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

[21] United Spinal Association frequently gets requests for these features by end users; United Spinal Association is a national nonprofit organization that seeks to improve the quality of life of Americans with spinal cord injuries and disorders.

[22] Mitchell, C. (2006). Pedestrian mobility and safety: A key to independence for older people. *Topics in Geriatric Rehabilitation*, 22(1) January/March pp. 45-52.

This publication was a joint initiative of Easter Seals Project ACTION and the Rehabilitation Engineering Research Center on Accessible Public Transportation (RERC-APT) at Carnegie Mellon University and the University at Buffalo.

For more information and technical assistance, please contact:

Easter Seals Project ACTION (ESPA)  
1425 K Street, NW, Suite 200  
Washington, DC 20005  
Phone: (202) 347-3066  
Toll-free: (800) 659-6428  
Fax: (202) 737-7914  
TDD: (202) 347-7385  
[www.projectaction.org](http://www.projectaction.org)  
[www.easterseals.com](http://www.easterseals.com)



Easter Seals Project ACTION is funded through a cooperative agreement with the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Transit Administration.

RERC-APT  
Robotics Institute  
Carnegie Mellon University  
5000 Forbes Avenue  
Pittsburgh PA 15213-3890  
[www.rercapt.org](http://www.rercapt.org)



Center for Inclusive Design & Environmental Access  
University at Buffalo  
378 Hayes Hall, School of Architecture & Planning  
3455 Main Street  
Buffalo, NY 14214-3087  
Phone: (716) 829-3485 Ext. 329  
TTY: (716) 829-3758  
Fax: (716) 829-3861

The RERC-APT is funded by grant number H133E080019 from the U.S. Department of Education through the National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research.